#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	C.A. No.: 07-346 SLR
v.	)	
MECHANICAL INTEGRITY, INC.,	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	)	

# ANSWER OF DEFENDANT, MECHANICAL INTEGRITY, INC., TO COMPLAINT WITH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Denied. By way of further answer, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.
  - 2. Admitted.
  - 3. Admitted.
  - 4. Admitted.
- 5. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required. By way of further answer, the contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
- 6. Denied. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.
  - 7. Admitted, upon information and belief.

- 8. It is admitted that DuPont and Mechanical Integrity, Inc. entered into a contract for inspection of chloroform piping at the Louisville plant. The contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
- 9. It is admitted that DuPont and Mechanical Integrity, Inc. entered into a contract for inspection of chloroform piping at the Louisville plant. The contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
- 10. It is admitted that DuPont and Mechanical Integrity, Inc. entered into a contract for inspection of chloroform piping at the Louisville plant. The contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
  - 11. Denied as stated.
  - 12. Admitted.
- 13. It is admitted that Mechanical Integrity, Inc. issued an inspection report following its inspection. The report is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
- 14. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 15. It is admitted that DuPont entered into another contract with Mechanical Integrity, Inc. in November of 2005. The contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
  - 16. Denied as stated.

- 17. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 18. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
- 19. Denied. By way of further answer, after reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial.
  - 20. Denied.
  - Denied. 21.
  - 22. Denied.

#### COUNT I

- Answering Defendant hereby incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 23. 22 herein by reference.
- 24. Denied. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.
- 25. Denied. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.

26. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial. By way of further answer, the allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.

#### **COUNT II**

- 27. Answering Defendant hereby incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 26 herein by reference.
- 28. Denied. The contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
  - 29. Denied.
  - 30. Denied.
  - 31. Denied.
- 32. Denied. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.
- 33. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial. By way of further answer, the allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.

#### **COUNT III**

34. Answering Defendant hereby incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 33 herein by reference.

- 35. Denied.
- 36. Denied. By way of further answer, the contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
  - 37. Denied.
  - 38. Denied.
- 39. Denied. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.
- 40. Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial. By way of further answer, the allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.

#### **COUNT IV**

- 41. Answering Defendant hereby incorporates its responses to paragraphs 1 through 40 herein by reference.
- 42. Denied. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required. By way of further answer, the contract is a written document which speaks for itself and Plaintiff's characterization thereof is specifically denied.
  - 43. Denied.
  - 44. Denied.
- 45. Denied. By way of further answer, the allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.

- 46. Denied. The allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.
- Denied. After reasonable investigation, Answering Defendant is without 47. sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained herein and same are deemed denied with strict proof thereof demanded at the time of trial. By way of further answer, the allegations contained herein are denied as conclusions of law to which no responsive pleadings are required.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant respectfully requests that this cause of action against it be dismissed with prejudice.

## FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's causes of action are barred in whole or in part by the assumption of a known risk and/or contributory negligence.

## THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's causes of action are barred in whole or in part by the provisions of the Kentucky Comparative Negligence Statute, KRS §411.182.

# FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If Plaintiff sustained the damages as alleged in their Complaint, which is herein strictly denied, then they were caused by the acts or omissions of entities/individuals over which/whom Answering Defendant had no control nor legal duty to control.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims precluded in whole or in part by the terms of the relevant contract.

## **SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

Plaintiff's claims are precluded in whole or in party by the Economic Loss Doctrine.

## SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

At all times material hereto, Answering Defendant acted with due care and proper care under the circumstances.

## EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to mitigate their damages.

## NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Venue is improper for this Court.

## TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This court lacks jurisdiction over the persons to the within action.

## **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

All allegations pertaining to employment, workmen, servant, agency, supervision and/or control are specifically denied.

WHEREFORE, Answering Defendant respectfully requests that this cause of action against it be dismissed with prejudice.

REGER RIZZO KAVULICH & DARNALL LLP

/s/ Louis J. Rizzo, Jr., Esquire

Louis J. Rizzo, Jr., Esquire Delaware State Bar I.D. No. 3374 1001 Jefferson Plaza, Suite 202 Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 652-3611

Attorney for Defendant Mechanical Integrity, Inc.

Dated: July 25, 2007

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	C.A. No.: 07-346
v.	)	
MECHANICAL INTEGRITY, INC.,	)	
Defendant.	)	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify on this 25th day of July, 2007 a two true and correct copy of the Answer of Defendant, Mechanical Integrity, Inc., to Complaint with Affirmative Defenses has been served electronically and by first class mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

Kathleen Furey McDonough, Esquire Sarah E. DiLuzio, Esquire Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor 1313 N. Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899

REGER RIZZO KAVULICH & DARNALL LLP

/s/ Louis J. Rizzo, Jr., Esquire

Louis J. Rizzo, Jr., Esquire Delaware State Bar I.D. No. 3374 1001 Jefferson Plaza, Suite 202 Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 652-3611 Attorney for Defendant Mechanical Integrity, Inc.

Dated: July 25, 2007

(REV. 07/89)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS  b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST L  (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ISTED PLAINTIFF New Castle County	MECHANICAL INTEGRITY, INC COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LIS (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED	TED DEFENDANT		
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, NUMBER) Kathleen Furey McDonough Sarah E. DiLuzio (ID #4085) Potter Anderson & Corroon P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899	n (ID #2395) (302) 984-6000	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Louis J. Rizzo, Jr., Esquire (ID Reger Rizzo Kavulich & Darna 1001 Jefferson Plaza, Suite 20 Wilmington, DE 19801	II LLP Ó Ó		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY)		III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX (For Diversity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT			
□ 1 U.S. Government	□ 3 Federal Question	PTF DEF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1	PTF DEF Incorporated or Pricipal Place		
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendent	4 Diversity     (Indicated Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	Incorporated and Principal Place		

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE DO NOT CITE JURISDICTION STATUES UNLESS DIVERSITY)

Title VII

#### V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)

CONTRACT	то	RTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Manne □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury Med Malpractice 365 Personal Injury	□ 610 Agriculture □ 620 Other Food & Drug □ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	□ 422 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	□ 630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	□ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Midicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Manne 345 Manne Product	□ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending	□ 640 R.R. & Truck □ 650 Airline Regs □ 660 Occupational Safety/Health □ 690 Other	□ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced an Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
(Excl. Veterans)	Liability	□ 380 Other Personal	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 875 Customer Challenge
□ 160 Stockholders Suits ■ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage  □ 385 Property Damage Property Liability	□ 710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410  891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization 893 Environmental Matters
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	& Disclosure Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	□ 894 Energy Allocation Act
□ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure	□ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment	□ 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	□ 740 Railway Labor Act □ 790 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS  □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or	□ 895 Freedom of Information Ac □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination
□ 230 Rent Lease & Equipment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 444 Welfare □ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:  □ 530 General  □ 535 Dealth Penalty  □ 540 Mandamus & Other  □ 550 Civil Right	□ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	Defendant) □ 871 IRS Third Party 26 USC 7609	Under Equal Access to Justice  □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statues □ Other Statutory Actions
VI. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN x	N ONE BOX ONLY	) sferred from	Appeal to District □ 7 Judge from
□ 1 Original      □ Proceeding	2 Removed from   3 State Court	Remanded from □ 4 I Appellate Court	Reinstated or   5 and	ther district   6 Multion	· ·
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	SISA CLASS ACTIO	N DEMAND \$	Check YES only if der	manded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:_	UNDER F.R.C.P 2	23		JURY DEMA	ND:⊠ YES □ NO
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instruction	ons)			
IF ANY			e Sue L. Robinson DOC		

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD
/s/ Louis J. Rizzo, Jr., Esquire

DATE